### MINUTES OF THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Regular Meeting

May 5, 1977 10:00 A.M.

Council Chambers
301 West Second Street

The meeting was called to order with Mayor Friedman presiding.

### Roll Call:

Present: Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers

Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn, Trevino

Absent: None

### APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Councilmember Himmelblau moved that the Council approve the Minutes for the regular Council Meeting of April 28, 1977. The motion, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Snell, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers

Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn

Noes: None

Not in Council Chamber when roll was called: Councilmember Trevino

### NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION WEEK

National Historic Preservation Week has been proclaimed for May 8-14, 1977, according to a proclamation read by Mayor Friedman. It stated the citizens of Austin have a unique heritage in having more than 500 19th century structures, and all of us share the responsibility to preserve, protect and enrich the beauty of our City, as well as its structures and sites which reflect our extraordinary cultural heritage. Mayor Friedman urged all residents to join him in protecting historic landmarks. Accepting the proclamation with her thanks on behalf of the Historic Landmark Commission, was RoxanneeWilliamson.

### NATIONAL HOSPITAL WEEK

Councilmember Himmelblau read the National Hospital Week proclamation, commending the dedicated efforts of hospital employees who provide health care in 7,000 hospitals nationwide. The proclamation called on all residents to recognize the contributions of the men and women in this life-saving profession. Mrs. Womack, of the Volunteer Bureau of the Austin State School accepted the proclamation and said they appreciate the recognition that they are doing a good job.

### CINCO MAYO DAY

Thursday, May 5, 1977, was proclaimed Cinco de Mayo Day by Mayor Friedman. Councilmember Trevino read the proclamation which encouraged all citizens to join in the commemoration of the victory of the liberty in the Americas. Mr. Floyd Bermea, Mexican-American Bi-Centennial Committee, accepted the proclamation and expressed their extreme gratification for the support in their efforts to increase and instill cultural pride in the Mexican-American heritage.

### MUNICIPAL CLERK'S WEEK

Mayor Friedman read the following proclamation and presented it to City Clerk Grace Monroe. She accepted with her appreciation.

"WHEREAS, the efficiency and order with which government carries out its public function is strongly reliant on proper procedures, open and thorough communications between all municipal departments, public bodies, and citizens; and

WHEREAS, the Municipal Clerk records the decisions of the Council; reports to it on all communications, reports, and requests received from a variety of sources; and maintains the official records of the municipal government; and

whereas, the Municipal Clark serves as the central source of knowledge and records of municipal government, which records must be readily available to municipal departments, community groups, and individual citizens; and

WHEREAS, the Municipal Clerk has demonstrated, by continuously and conscientiously improving skills required to meet the future challenges of local government, an awareness of the need to give the maximum amount of service at the minimum expenditure of the tax dollar.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, Jeffrey M. Friedman, Mayor of the City of Austin, Texas, do hereby proclaim the week of May 9-15, 1977, as

### MUNICIPAL CLERK'S WEEK

in Austin in recognition of the vital services the Municipal Clerk performs and the outstanding dedication to the community she represents.

### CHILD HEALTH WEEK

A young child's physical, social and mental development can be highly dependent on the level of good health maintained during the early years of life, therefore, Mayor Pro Tem Snell read a proclamation naming the week of May 9-13, 1977, as Child Health Week in Austin. The proclamation urges all citizens to join in giving recognition to free health care services especially established to assure all childrentthe best health they can attain. Accepting the proclamation with their thanks, and a reminder to all regarding the well-child health clinics, were Mona Wright and Rosemary Castleberry.

### MENTAL HEALTH WEEK

Dr. Jack Abell, Austin Mental Health Association, received the Mental Health Month proclamation readyby Mayor Friedman. The proclamation sets aside the month of May in Austin for this recognition and enourages all residents to support the Austin Mental Health Association's Annual Bell Ringer Drive that began May 4, and continues through the months of May and June, and to recognize the advancements that have been made in the area of mental health for the good of all communities throughout the United States, particularly the Austin-Travis County area. Dr. Abell thanked Mayor Friedman and the Council for the proclamation.

### ANNOUNCEMENT

Mayor Friedman announced there would be a 10:30 public hearing on paving assessments and asked anyone in the audience who had questions, to meet with Mr. Rountree prior to the hearing. He said Mr. Rountree would be happy to discuss anything with them in order to clarify the situation and speed up the process.

### EMERGENCY WORK SESSION

Mayor Friedman called attention to the posted Emergency Work Session in the Council Annex, first floor Conference Room, temmeet in a preliminary fashion with the Round Rock City Council to discss issues of joint concern relative to the annexation that is being debated.

# EXECUTIVE SESSION AND APPOINTMENT BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

The Council met in Executive session at 9 a.m. on a large number of items. One was a briefing on the law suits and current status on the LoVaca-Coastal States gas situation basedoon the opinion handed down by the Texas Supreme F Court. No action was taken. It was discussed with the attorneys and is still under review.

The staff has been instructed to proceed in land acquisition that is now being appraised and will be brought back to the Council in the very near future for final authorization of the contract.

Several Board and Commission appointments were also made as follows:

### Urban Renewal Board

Mayor Friedman moved that the Council appoint Mr. Lewis Troiano, Mr. Charles Sampler and Mr. Matthew Edwards to one-year terms; and Mr. David Davenport, Ms. Gretchen Raatz, Mr. Chuck Wattles and Mr. Jose Martinez to two-year terms on the Urban Renewal Board. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann,

Lebermann, Linn, Trevino, Mayor Butler

Noes: None

### Electric Utility Commission

Mayor Friedman moved that the Council appoint Mr. Neal Kocurek, Mr. Bruce Todd, Mr. Ray Humphries, Mr. Rich Ellmer, and Mr. Robert Young to the Electric Utility Commission. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Trevino,

Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell

Noes: Councilmember Linn

### Mental Health-Mental Retardation Board of Trustees

Mayor Pro Tem Snell moved that the Council appoint Mr. Travis Benford to a two-year term, through April 1, 1979, on the Mental Health-Mental Retardation Board of Trustees. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Himmelblau, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn, Trevino, Mayor

Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmember Himmelblau

Noes: None

### Historic Landmark Commission

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council appoint Mr. Blake Alexander, Mr. Bhilip Creer, Ms. Janie Harrison, Ms. Ina Ray Smith and Ms. Roxanne Williamson to two-year terms on the Historic Landmark Commission expiring April 4, 1979. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Lebermann, Linn, Trevino, Mayer Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann

Noes: None

### Urban Transportation Commission

Councilmember Hofmann moved that the Council appoint Dr. C. Michael Walton to a term expiring December 31, 1978, on the Urban Transportation Commission. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Himmelblau, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann

Noes: None

### Parks and Recreation Board

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council appoint Janet Stockard to a term expiring April 1, 1979, on the Parks and Recreation Board. The motion, seconded by Mayor PreoTem Snell, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmember Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Smell.

Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn

Noes: None

### Human Relations Commission

Councilmember Trevino moved that the Council appoint Ms. Lydia Gardner to a term expiring October 25, 1977, on the Human Relations Commission. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Himmelblau, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers

Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn, Trevino

Noes: None

### Navigation Board

Mayor Pro Tem Snell moved that the Council appoint Ms. Evelyn Johnson to a term expiring January 15, 1979, on the Navigation Board. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann,

Lebermann, Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman

Noes: None

### Library Commission

Councilmember Himmelblau moved that the Council appoint Ms. Jacquelyn Davis to a term through January 1, 1978, on the Library Commission. The motion, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Snell, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn,

Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell

Noes: None

### Central Texas Library System Advisory Council

CouncilmanhberHofmann moved that the Council appoint Mr. Sam Whitten to a term on the Central Texas System Advisory Council. The motion, seconded by Councilmanher Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn, Trevino, Mayorr

Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmember Himmelblau

Noes: None

### Task Force Study Teams

Councilmember Lebermann moved that the Council make the following appointments to the Task Force Health Programming Study Teams:

### Study Team Three

Ms. Abigail Havens

Ms. Patti Hakes

Mr. Ron Morefield

Mr. Charles Jennings

Mr. Jim Miller

Mr. Buck Apelt

Ms. Cora Briggs

### Study Team Four

Mr. Tom Hatfield

Ms. Beverly Hovenkamp

Sister Mary Rose McPhee

Ms. Hazel Rydell

Dr. Grover Bynum

Dr. Homer Goehrs

Ms. Andrea Beatty

Mr. Lee Thomson

Mr. Bob Spurck

Dr. Reuben McDaniel

### Study Team Five

Mr. Bill Harrison

Mr. David Baird

Mr. Dave Davenport

Ms. Martha Smiley

Mr. Paul Tovar

Mr. Monty Nitcholas

Mr. Charles Trimble

Mr. Joe Liro

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Lebermann, Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman,

Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann

Noes: None

Mayor Friedman announced the Council will meet May 12, 1977, at 11:00 a.m. for discussion of further Board and Commission appointments including the Building Standards Commission that has 11 members to be appointed.

### PARADE PERMIT

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council approve a Parade Permit from Lonnie Bell in recognition of the Emancipation Proclamation, from 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., Saturday, June 18, 1977, beginning on Martin L. King, Jr., Boulevard, east to Chicon, south on Chicon to Rosewood, to Foris Miller Auditorium. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Himmelblau, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann

Noes: None

### AWARD PRESENTED

Mr. Frank Newson appeared before City Council to present an award in conjunction with the Junior Helping Hand. He talked about the recent Livestock Show in Austin where Councilmember Lowell Lebermann bought the Grand Champion Prize steer shown by Larry Lawrence for \$9,234.00 and presented a picture to Councilmember Lebermann of he and the steer, for which he expressed his thanks. Mr. Newson also acknowledged Mr. Mac Gage of the Travis County 4-H Club and his boys who were attending the meeting. He then asked Mrs. Virginia Duke of the Junior Helping Hand to tell what else the champion steer has done on behalf of Austin. Mrs. Duke said they were presented the chamption steer by Councilmember Lebermann and they took it to their membership and raised an additional \$1,200.00 for the new Children's Home being built on West 38th. She mentioned that Councilmember Lebermann will be attending the home, with his family on May 12th for a steak dinner.

Mr. Newson thanked the Council for their past support and urged their continuing support. Mayor Friedman thanked Mr. Newsom, Mrs. Duke and the 4-H Club for attending the meeting.

# PUBLIC HEARING ON I.H. 35 FRONTAGE ROAD PROPOSED ONE-WAY OPERATION

Mayor Friedman opened the public hearing at 10:30 a.m. on I.H. 35 Frentage Road Proposed One-Way Operation.

Mr. Joe Ternus, Urban Transportation Director, gave a brief presentation indicating the content of this hearing. By use of slides he showed the general area of I. H. 35 from Ben White Boulevard south to its intersection with Congress Avenue. Some of the major streets in the area include St. Elmo, Frederich Lane and Perry Lane, Stassney Lane completed east and uncompleted just east of I.H. 35 to Congress Avenue; further south, William Cannon Drive, and North Bluff Drive on the east. Normal City growth in the past few years to the east and southwest has created a change in the nature of the Interregional frontage road from rural to more urban in nature. As a result, this area has experienced a tremendous increase in the number of traffic accidents on the frontage road. The total number of collision, 1975-76 has increased 32%. The number of bodily injury accidents as the result of wrong-way type collision has increased 60% during the past year and during the past seven years there have been eight fatalities in this area. All but two were the result of the two-way

operation versus the one-way operation. Mr. Ternus continued that the situation has been discussed by the State Department of Highway Transportation as well as by the Urban Transportation Commission and the Citizen's Traffic Safety Commission. Both commissions, he said, recommend the one-way operation be established from West frontage road from Congress Avenue to Ben White to be one-way south bound and the east frontage road from Congress to St. Elmo be made one-way north bound and also that the state modify the ramp in order to be consistent with the one-way operation. The Commissions recognize the need for the rapid completion of Stassney Lane both east and west of Interregional and they request that the Council ask the State Department of Highways and Transportation to program construction of the Stassney Lane overpass.

Councilmember Himmelblau asked if the conversion of the frontage road was the state's or city's prerogative. Mr. Ternus answered the state has the final authority on operational aspects of the one-way, speed limits or anything on a freeway. The City, in the past, has passed Ordinances to make the changes effective within the City limits. Councilmember Himmelblau said that this would really landlock three subdivisions in that area, particularly during peak traffic hours. She said the area was dangerous and something had to be done, but she was not willing to agree until there was a commitment for a completion of Stassney Lane. This will take two or three years, she said, and felt there should be an alternative for the people in the area before denying them ingress to their homes. Mr. Ternus said they would not be denied access. The route they now travel is southbound on the frontage road to Perry Lane east past Frederich. Under the new arrangement with the frontage road between St. Elmo and Ben White remaining two-way, they would make their turn at St. Elmo, go to Frederich and then down to Perry. So, he continued, they will go no further under the one-way operation than they do under the two-way operation. The main concern of all of this. Mr. Ternus announced, is the extension of Stassney Lane. The situation as it is cannot wait two more years from a safety standpoint.

O. W. PATTON, GMC-Searcy Trucks, was concerned about the west side of the access road. He said there would be a way into their company, but no way out. He said there was no way to get back to Congress or no way to get back to town with the large trucks and equipment they deal with. He stated the whole area to the south of Ben White and west of I.H. 35 is a bottleneck. They now have to drive about four miles in order to get back on a main thoroughfare. There has to be some way to get circulation, he concluded.

GEORGE KIND, Southwast Austin Growing Neighborhood Association of Today, appeared to protest the closing of the southbound lane on east frontage road prior to the completion of east Stassney lane. There are approximately 6,000 residents now residing in the area directly effected by the changes. The growth rate in the area is about two families per day. The growth will continue and this means all sorts of trucks must enter and leave the neighborhood daily. This means there are between 2,000 to 3,000 vehicles entering and &&aving their area each day, including school buses. He feels Urban Transportation should have entered into this situation long ago and east Stassney Lane should have been completed. He said if Frederich Lane was used, it would probably double the number of accidents seen on the frontage road.

ED M. BARKLEY, 2501 East St. Elmo Road, presented a letter to the Council as follows:

"I thank you for inviting me to this meeting and welcoming my written comments and recommendations.

We are here at this meeting to plan the spending of our tax monies to improve the traffic flow conditions on IH 35 South of Ben White to Congress Avenue.

I am opposed to the proposed one way traffic flow restrictions on Friederich Lane, which would create substandard traffic flow denditions.

A grade separation should be constructed at IH 35 and E. St. Elmo. A grade separation at IH 35 and E. St. Elmo would improve the traffic flow conditions more for each tax dollar spent than at any location between Ben White and the Bluff Springs grade separation and would eliminate the substandard traffic flow donditions that have existed there since IH 35 was first built about 23 years ago. This read is an old, long and important read to many people who live on or have access to it for many miles. This road was constructed when road building dirt was moved by men and hand shovels and by mules and fresnos. Therefore, no efforts or money were wasted. It crosses creeks and branches in desirable, and proven to be good, places.

Today, I hope we are intelligent enough to solve our traffic flow problems for the least tax dollar cost and to benefit the most people.

It is not right to have below standard traffic flow conditions on IH 35 at E. St. Elmo Road for 23 years and then rearrange to continue this substandard traffic flow conditions there with the added substandard oneway traffic flow conditions on Friederich Lane. I think we are a people more intelligent than if we were sheep in a corral with only one gate open to pass from.

To complete Stassney over IH 35 and Williamson Creek will cost a vast amount of tax monies. This project should not be completed unless the E. St. Elmo at IH 35 grade separation is constructed before or at the same time.

I thank each of you for listening to my comments and recommendations, and I invite any interested person to later talk with me about solving efficiently our traffic flow problems on IH 35 as well as Friederich Lane."

### Motion

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council continue the hearing on May 19, 1977, at 7:30 p.m. The motion was seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Snell.

Councilmember Himmelblau asked Mr. Ternus if the present frontage roads in question, which are two-lane, could befflared out temporarily to increase them to more lanes for the interim period. Mr. Ternus said they probably could, but would need Federal approval since it is a Federal facility. He said he seriously doubted they would do it, though, as this would not be capacity problem. The problem, he said, is the two-way operation and the ramps and flaring would not solve the problem. He said he could bring this to the State's attention before the next meeting and see what their reply would be.

### Roll Call on Motion

Ayes: Councilmember Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell,

Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn

Noes: None

### PUBLIC HEARING IN CONNECTION WITH PAVING ASSESSMENTS

Mayor Fixedman opened the Public Hearing at 10:30 a.m. in connection with paying assessments to be levied on the following passage of Ordinances:

- 1. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM, MATTHEWS LANE, covering approximately 3 blocks.
- 2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM, ATKINSON ROAD AND SUNDRY OTHER STREETS. covering approximately 60-1/2 blocks.
- 3. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM, ATKINSON ROAD AND SUNDRY OTHER STREETS, covering approximately 60-1/2 blocks. (Non-Interest Bearing)
- 4. CAPIETA IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM, BURLESON ROAD, covering approximately 11 blocks.
- 5. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM, RUNDBERG LANE, covering approximately 9 blocks.

Mr. Rountree addressed the Council by saying three streets under consideration are located in north Austin and two of them are in south Austin. The minimum paving is 8" of flexible base, 1-1/2" hot-mix surfacing and 30 feet in width. Some streets have a wider or deeper base due to the various types of subsoil. Some streets may be up to 44 feet in width. It was pointed out that the total number of parcels was 648 abutting new amprovements and 434 are being considered for the levying of assessments. Mayor Friedman asked if there had been anyone objecting; Mr. Rountree replied there had been one, but he felt it could be worked out.

Mr. Harris told the Council that T. E. Wiley was the appraiser in the case and he had a presentation to make. Mr. Wiley, S.R.P.A., MAA.I. stated he had been in the fee real estate appraisal business for 18 years in the City. He had been asked to find whether the paving enhanced the real estate parcels. Sales data was collected on some of the parcels sold before and some after the paving. The average was that on residential areas, \$20.00 a front foot was a market enhancement; for side lot, it was \$10.00 a front foot. In a commercial area it was \$30.00 a front foot and \$15.00 on the side lot improvement.

Based on his market analysis and analysing the parcels given to them to look at, he found all the properties were enhanced except for two:

May 3, 1977

"Mr. Burrel Waite Public Works Department City of Austin, Austin, Texas

Dear Mr. Waite:

Pursuant to your and the Legal Departments request we have looked at the building sites in the Matthews Lane, Burleson Road, and Rundberg Lane area for enhancement due to the paving of streets. In order for this office to make this decision, market data on sale of sites in the area was obtained and compared to the individual sites requested as shown in the report.

Each individual site was looked at and a determination was made of its enhancement or non-enhancement. In addition, special problems observed were indicated in the Special Comments section of the report. Some drainage problems were noted on the individual sites.

A review of the individual sites report indicates that two sites were not enhanced in the opinion of the appraiser. All the other sites were enhanced as shown.

The files of the appraiser contains the market sales used as well as pictures taken during our examination.

Very truly yours,

T. E. Wiley Company

s/Tom Wiley

Tom Wiley S.R.P.S. M.A.I."

S.K.F.A., M.A.L.						
ADDRESS	PARCEL NUMBER	TOOTAGE TO ASSESSMENT BE PAVED AMOUNT		AMOUNT AMOUNT TO VALUE	ENHANCED YES OR NO	
Burleson Road	3-0804-06-02	410.60	7,185.50	4,106.00	No	
1206	4-1916-04-35	9.86	208.98	197.20	No(corner	lot)

April 28, 1977

Mr. Burrel Waite
Public Works Department
City of Austin,
Austin, Texas

Dear Mr. Waite:

Pursuant to yours and the Legal Departments request, we have looked at the building sites in the St. John Subdivision for enhancement due to the paving of streets. In order for this office to make this decision, market data on sale of sites in the area was obtained and compared to the individual sites requested as shown in the report.

Each individual site was looked at and a determination was made of its enhancement or non-enhancement. In addition, special prablems observed were indicated in the Special Comments section of the report. Some drainage problems were noted on the individual sites. These sales which we were not asked to wook at but were observed to have drainage problems were also noted in the report.

A review of the individual sites report that all sites were enhanced in the opinion offthe appraiser.

The files of the appraiser contains the market sales used as well as pictures taken during our examination.

Very truly yours,

T.E. WILEY COMPANY

s/Tom Wiley
Tom Wiley
S.R.P.A., M.A.I. "

Mayor Friedman asked Mr. Wiley if he felt all the properties in question, except the two, are improved at least as much as the assessment. He answered yes, and that the report will know that most of them were enhanced more and some as much as twice. Jerry Harris, City Attorney, said he wanted to commend Mr. Wiley on the reports he prepared for this. He said it was one of the most detailed he had seen and made it easily understood.

### Ordinances

Mayor Friedman introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE CLOSING THE HEARING GIVEN TOTTHE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS OF PROPERTY ABUTTING UPON SUNDRY STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE LIMITS HEREINAFTER DEFINED, AS TO SPECIAL BENEFITS TO ACCRUE TO SAID PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF BY VIRTUE OF THE IMPROVEMENT OF SAID STREETS WITHIN

SAID LIMITS, AND AS TO ANY ERRORS, INVALIDITIES OR IRREGULARITIES IN ANY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OR CONTRACT THEREFORE FINDING AND DETERMINING THAT EACH AND EVERY PARCEL OF PROPERTY ABUTTING UPON SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED WILL BE SPECIALLY BENEFITED AND ENHANCED IN VALUE IN EXCESS OF THE AMOUNT OF THE COST OF SAID IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSED TO BE, AND AS, ASSESSED AGAINST SAID ABUTTING PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF, AND LEVYING AN ASSESSMENT FOR THE PAYMENT OF A PORTION OF THE COST OF IMPROVING SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED, FIXING A CHARGE AND LIEN AGAINST ALL SAID ABUTTING PROPERTIES, AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF, PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ASSIGNABLE CERTIFICATES UPON THE OCCUPATION AND ACCEPTANCE OF SAID WORK, THE MANNER AND TIME OF PAYMENT THEREOF, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER AND METHOD OF COLLECTION OF SAID ASSESSMENTS AND CERTIFICATES; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY, AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE. (Matthews Lane, covering approximately 3 blocks)

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council waive the requirement for three readings, declare an emergency and finally pass the ordinance effective immediately. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ages: Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers

Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn, Trevino

Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Friedman introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE CLOSING THE HEARING GIVEN TO THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS OF PROPERTY ABUTTING UPON SUNDRY STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE LIMITS HEREINAFTER DEFINED, AS TO SPECIAL BENEFITS TO ACCRUE TO SAID PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF BY VIRTUE OF THE IMPROVEMENT OF SAID STREETS WITHIN SAID LIMITS, AND AS TO ANY ERRORS, INVALIDITIES OR IRREGULARITIES IN ANY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OR CONTRACT THEREFOR: FINDING AND DETERMINENCEMENANAL SERVENCE OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF CONTRACT THEREFOR: PARCEL OF PROPERTY ABUTTING UPON SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED WILL BE SPECIALLY BENEFITED AND ENHANCED IN VALUE IN EXCESS OF THE AMOUNT OF THE COST OF SAID IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSED TO BE, AND AS, ASSESSED AGAINST SAID ABUTTING PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF, AND LEVYING AN ASSESSMENT FOR THE PAYMENT OF A PORTION OF THE COST OF IMPROVING SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED, FIXING A CHARGE AND LIEN AGAINST ALL SAID ABUTTING PROPERTIES, AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF, PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ASSISNABLE CERTIFI-CATES UPON THE COMPLETION AND ACCEPTANCE OF SAID WORK, THE MANNER AND TIME OF PAYMENT THEREOF. AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER AND METHOD OF COLLECTION OF SAID ASSESSMENTS AND CERTIFICATES; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY, AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE. (Atkinson Road and Sundry other streets, covering approximately 60-1/2 blocks.)

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council waive the requirement for three readings, declare an emergency and finally pass the ordinance effective immediately. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers

Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn, Trevino

Noes: None

Ç

 $\mathcal{O}$ 

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Friedman introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE CLOSING THE HEARING GIVEN TO THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS OF PROPERTY ABUTTING UPON SUNDRY STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE LIMITS HEREINAFTER DEFINED, AS TO SPECIAL BENEFITS TO ACCURE TO SAID PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF BY VIRTUE OF THE IMPROVEMENT OF SAID STREETS WITHIN SAID LIMITS, AND AS TO ANY ERRORS, INVALIDITIES OR IRREGULARITIES IN ANY OF THE PROCEBDINGS OR CONTRACT THEREFOR; FINDING AND DETERMINING THAT EACH AND EVERY PARCEL OF PROPERTY ABUTTING UPON SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED WILL BE SPECIALLY BENEFITED AND ENHANCED IN VALUE IN EXCESS OF THE AMOUNT OF THE COST OF SAID IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSED TO BE, AND AS, ASSESSED AGAINST SAID ABUTTING PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF. AND LEVYING AN ASSESSMENT FOR THE PAYMENT OF A PORTION OF THE COST OF IMPROVING SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED. FIXING A CHARGE AND LIEN AGAINST ALL SAID ABUTTING PROPERTIES. AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF, PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ASSIGNABLE CERTIFI-CATES UPON THE COMPLETION AND ACCEPTANCE OF SAID WORK, THE MANNER AND TIME OF PAYMENT THEREOF, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER AND METHOD OF COLLECTION OF SAID ASSESSMENTS AND CERTIFICATES; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY, AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE. (Atkinson Road and sundry other streets, covering approximately 60-1/2 blocks [Non-Interest Bearing])

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council waive the requirement for three readings, declare an emergency and finally passedhe ordinance effective immediately. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers

Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn, Trevino

Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Friedman introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE CLOSING THE HEARING GIVEN TO THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS OF PROPERTY ABUTTING UPON SUNDRY STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE LIMITS HEREINAFTER DEFINED, AS TO SPECIAL BENEFITS TO ACCRUE TO SAID PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF BY VIRTUE OF THE IMPROVEMENT OF SAID STREETS WITHIN SAID LIMITS, AND AS TO ANY ERRORS, INVALIDITIES OR IRREGULARITIES IN ANY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OR CONTRACT THEREFOR; FINDING AND DETERMINING THAT EACH AND EVERY PARCEL OF PROPERTY ABUTTING UPON SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED WILL BE SPECIALLY BENEFITED AND ENHANCED IN VALUE IN EXCESS OF THE AMOUNT TOF THE COST OF SAID IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSED TO BE, AND AS, ASSESSED AGAINST SAID ABUTTING PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF, AND LEVYING AN ASSESSMENT FOR THE PAYMENT OF A PORTION OF THE COST OF IMPROVING SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED, FIXING A CHARGE AND LIEN AGAINST ALL SAID ABUTTING PROPERTIES, AND THE

REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF, PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ASSIGNABLE CERTIFICATES UPON THE COMPLETION AND ACCEPTANCE OF SAID WORK, THE MANNER AND TIME OF PAYMENT THEREOF, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER AND METHOD OF COLLECTION OF SAID ASSESSMENTS AND CERTIFICATES; DECLARING AN EMERGENCY, AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE. (Burleson Road, covering approximately 11 blocks.)

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council waive the requirement for three readings, declare an emergency and finally pass the ordinance effective immediately. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers

Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn, Trevino

Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

Mayor Friedman introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE CLAMENG THE HEARING GIVEN TO THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS OF PROPERTY ABUTTING UPON SUNDRY STREETS IN THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS, WITHIN THE LIMITS HEREINAFTER DEFINED, AS TO SPECIAL BENEFITS TO ACCRUE TO SAID PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF BY VIRTUE OF THE IMPROVEMENT OF SAID STREETS WITHIN SAID LIMITS, AND AS TO ANY ERRORS, INVALIDITES OR IRREGULARITIES IN ANY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OR CONTRACT THEREFOR; FINDING AND DETERMINING THAT EACH AND EVERY PARCEL CE PROMERTY ABUTTING UPON SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED WILL BE SPECIALLY BENEFITED AND ENHANCED IN VALUE IN EXCESS OF THE AMOUNT OF THE COST OF SAID IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSED TO BE, AND AS, ASSESSED AGAINST SAID ABUTTING PROPERTY AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF. AND LEVYING AN ASSESSMENT FOR THE PAYMENT OF A PORTION OF THE COST OF IMPROVING SAID STREETS WITHIN THE LIMITS DEFINED. FIXING A CHARGE AND LIEN AGAINST ALL SAID ABUTTING PROPERTIES. AND THE REAL AND TRUE OWNERS THEREOF, PROVEDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ASSIGNABLE CERTIFI-CATES UPON THE COMPLETION AND ACCEPTANCE OF SAID WORK, THE MANNER AND TIME OF PAYMENT THEREOF, AND PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER AND METHOD OF COLLECTION OF SAID ASSESSMENTS AND CERTIFICATES: DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. AND PROVIDING THAT THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON ITS PASSAGE. (Rundberg Lane. covering approximately 9 blocks)

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council waive the requirement for three readings, declare an emergency and finally pass the ordinance effective immediately. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers

Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn, Trevino

Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

### PUBLIC HEARING ON THE 1977-78 HCD PROGRAM

Mayor Friedman opened the public hearing scheduled for 11:00 a.m. to discuss the 1977-78 HCD Program and consider authorizing submission of the 1977-78 HCD Program on May 12, 1977. The Mayor indicated that a memo had been submitted to the Council stating that inline with the latest pronouncement from the Housing and Urban Development Agency in Washington there had been some significant changes in direction as to where HCD funds can be used. He said that this would raise some questions as to the funding status of some programs. The Mayor pointed out that there would be further written response from the Federal government prior to the next regular Council meeting at which time a vote would be taken. Councilmember Trevino asked if the HCD funding proposals would still have to be submitted in accordance with the same time table and Mayor Friedman indicated that it would. City Manager Dan Davidson indicated that Federal officials had agreed to double check some of the proposed HCD projects for eligibility and that they should have an answer to this by the following week.

MR. MIGUEL GUERRERO, Chairperson of the Planning Commission, presented the Council with the Commission's project recommendations for the 1977 HCD block grant (see Attachment 1). Mr. Guerrero then read a memorandum which he had sent to City Manager Davidson.

MR. ELLIOTT NAISHTAT, Vice-Chairperson of the Community Development Commission, read a letter which he and Ms. Lois Watson, Chairperson of the Community Development Commission, send to Mr. Davidson (See Attachment 2). Mr. Naishtat mentioned that the recommendations of the joint sumcommittees of the Planning Commission and the Community Development Commission were reviewed at a special CDC meeting on April 25, 1977, and those recommendations, with few exceptions, were parallel to one another.

Mr. Naishtat pointed out that the Community Development Commission is a liaison between the public and the City government. He indicated that the Commissionshad made some changes to the recommendations made by the point committees. The Commission recommended that priority be given to the target areas within the community and that no HCD funding be approved for non-target areas. They recommended that these projects acquire funding by means of other alternate sources. The Commission also recommended that the City try to acquire additional funding from the State if the 1 cent additional bed tax is enacted by the State Legislature. Councilmember Linn asked Mr. Naishtat whatthe status of the State bill was and he indicated that it would be passed.

Mr. Naishtat pointed out that there had been serious concern articulated by residents of the Model Cities area and the Clarksville area regarding out backs on projects that were scheduled for funding this year. Mr. Naishtat made mention of a memorandum (dated May 5, 1977) from the City Manager to the Council which addressed the recommendations of the joint committee, the Planning Commission and the Community Development Commission (See Attachment 3). He felt that it was unfortunate that this information had been submitted at much a late date. He said that there was no time for this to go back to the Commissions so that they could deal with it but suggested that in the event that HUD finally determines that the projects which were recommended are not found to be eligible for funding out of HCD, that the City make every effort to fund these projects through the operating budget, through the CIP or through any other means that might be forthcoming. He said that the projects that were recommended by the Commission were ones that were considered important by the neighborhoods and that the City should find some way of funding those projects.

Mayor Friedman restated the position of the Federal government in regards to HCD funding. The Mayor indicated that the Paramount Theatre would not qualify nor would the Wooten Elementary Park improvements project. He said that there were serious questions over the Congress Avenue sewerage project, the Moonlight Towers, the Southeast EMS station, The Family Out-Patient Clinic, Family Planning and the Dental Clinic. Councilmember Linn asked why there were questions over the Congress Avenue project and Mayor Friedman indicated that the Federal government stipulated that HCD funds had to go to areas that were considered deteriorated and there was question as to whether Congress Avenue would qualify. Councilmember Trevino asked Assistant City Manager Jim Miller if it would be possible to use bond money to fund projects that did not qualify for HCD funds. Mr. Miller stated that this could be done with the condition that no funds have been appropriated for the project already.

MR. LESLIE GAGE, representing the Paramount Theatre, spoke before the Council. Mr. Gage asked on what grounds the Paramount Theatre was denied HCD funding. Mayor Friedman stated that the theatre did not qualify as a low income area and was not considered to be a blighted area. Mr. Gage asked if the HUD officials would be coming to Austin to review the situation and Mayor Friedman indicated that officials were already here for that purpose. The Mayor also indicated, however, that there was more to it than having the official review the situation because of the directive that has already been handed down by Washington. Mr. Gage suggested that Mr. Miller give the representative from Washington a tour of the downtown area to see for himself the condition that it was in because he felt that the downtown area could qualify as a blighted area.

MS. BARBARA BLACKMILLER, Director of the Austin Women's Center, spoke before the Council. She felt that herrorganization came within the guidelines laid down by HUD. MR. MARCEL ROCHA of the Mexican-American Chamber of Commerce thanked the Council for having set up the Office of Minority Business Affairs. He hoped that the Federal government would deem the project as worthwhile but asked that the City would help in the event that they were not funded. Mr. Brent Mann also appeared before the Council.

MR. JOHN CAVANAUGH, representing J. R. Reed Music Company, spoke before the Council. Mr. Cavanaugh stressed the need for some kind of flood control on the east side of Congress Avenue.

MR. ROBERT WILLIAMS, representing the Rosewood Human Development Center Advisory Board, thanked the Planning Commission and the Community Development Commission for the recommendations that they made. Mr. Williams urged the Council to follow the recommendations made by these agencies. Councilmember Himmelblau asked Mr. Williams if they had tried going to the MH-MR Board to try and obtain space in the Rosewood shopping Center or a building on 12th Street. Mr. Williams said that this had not been presented to the board but was previously considered and turned down. Councilmember Himmelblau felt that the Transportation Enterprises Building on East 12th was a good site, but Mr. Williams stated that the hallways in this building were too narrow. MR. SAMUEL GREASE, Chairperson of the Rosewood Advisory Committee, pointed out that the two locations mentioned above were presented to the Board of Trustees but that they were turned down.

MR. STACY LOPEZ, representing the East 1st Street Advisory Committee, asked that the Council accept the recommendations of the Community Development Commission, the Planning Commission and the sub-committees, that \$72,000 be set aside for acquisition of land for the multi-puppose facility on 6th and Comal. He thanked the Commissions for being able to work with them.

MR. RAUL VALDEZ, representing El Centro Chicano and the Laguna Gloria Art Museum, made a block grant request to the Council for \$10,400 in order to do 4 outdoor murals at various neighborhood sites in East Austin. He said that the murals would be subject to input from the community. He indicated that they were already in contact with national mural groups such as the City Arts Workshop in New York City. They are interested in the integration of art into the everyday life of the low income family.

MR. RICHARD TOTH told the Council that he had just recently bought a house on Nickerson Street, which is an unpaved street. He wanted to know if there was some way that this street could be paved. The Mayor suggested that Mr. Toth speak with Mr. Terry Childers of the City Manager's office to discuss what the paving schedule 66r that street might be. Mr. Toth thanked the Council.

MR. BOB BINDER spoke in favor of installing ramps along Congress Avenue for the handicapped. He felt that such a thing wouldkkeep the project together in terms of HCD funding. Mr. Binder asked the Council that if the project was not funded through HCD, what the status of the project would be. Mayor Friedman stated that the project would have to come as part of the C.I.P. that Mayor McClellan and the next Council could approve. Mr. Binder pointed out that the project had already been included in a previous C.I.P. City Manager Davidson said that the Congress Avenue project is still in the C.I.P. but that it has been placed in an unfunded year and that there were no funds with which to proceed with the project. Mr. Davidson indicated that, for structural reasons, the drainage along Congress Avenue would have to go in first before the ramps were put in and then the City could turn to the C.I.P. funding in order to put in the ramps. Councilmember Hofmann asked if it was possible to go ahead with the ramps prior to putting in the new drainage. Mr. Davidson stated that the ramps could not be put in without interfering with the existing drainage and utility lines, therefore it would be more practical to put the drainage in first. Mr. Davidson also pointed out that because of inflation, there was not amough bond money to cover the cost of the Congress Avenue project and this was why they were trying to get the project approved under HCD funding.

MR. DAVE LINDSEY of the Wooten Park Neighborhood Association spoke before the Council. He expressed concern that the Wooten Park improvements project would be scraped under HCD. He requested that the Council try and include the project in the C.I.P. Mayor Friedman stated that the decision as to funding would be up to the Federal government rather than the City Council. Councilmember Trevino suggested using bond money to fund the Wooten Park project. Mayor Friedman indicated, however, that this would have to go through the C.I.P. and that they could not reprogram the C.I.P. He pointed out that alltthe Council would be able to do would be to try and push theproject therough MECD.

MS. SALLY WITTLIFF, President of "We Care Austin", felt that Congress Avenue from 5th Street to Town Lake was a blighted area and that it should qualify for HCD funding. Mayor Friedman stated again that it was not up to the Council whether or not the

Congress Avenue project is eligible for HCD funding. Ms. Wittliff recommended that the drainage, the curb extensions and the sidewalk ramps all be done at the same time when the Congress Avenue project is begun. She stated that removing barriers was in the guidelines of how HCD money could be spent. Councilmember Hofmann asked Mr. Davidson if the sidewalks had to be torn up anyway to improve the drainage. Mr. Davidson replied that he could not answer that until he reviewed the engineering report. Mr. Davidson stated that if an intersection does entail the removal of certain sidewalk sections, he would recommend that the replacement of those sections be in accord with the guidelines for serving the handicapped.

MS. KAY HART introduced MR. GEORGE SLINING, a member of MICET, who said that his organization was concerned over the correction and installation of curb cuts because of the inaccessibility of the downtown Congress area. He pointed out that the taxes of the handicapped were the same as they were before they became handicapped and that they wanted to see some tax money spent on getting curb cuts in the downtown area.

Mayor Fitedman stated that another hearing was set for the following week that would entail final word from the Federal government on the eligibility of certain projects and also some action by the Council.

Attachments 1, 2, and 3 on Following Pages.

The Council meeting then recessed until 2:00 p.m.

April 28, 1977

Memo to: Dan Davidson, City Manager

From: Miguel Guerrero, Chairman, PlanninggCommission

Subject: Project Recommendations for the 1977 HCD Block Grant

The Commission is pleased to present its recommendation of projects to be funded by the 1977 HCD block grant to you for transmittal to the City Council. On April 26, the Planning Commission conducted a public hearing at which citizen requests for project funding were presented. Also transmitted at this hearing were the recommendations of the Joint Subcommittee which were developed after a 4-week review of project proposals and citizen identified needs. The Commission voted unanimously to approve the recommendations of the Joint Subcommittee for transmittal to the City Council with the following changes and qualifications.

\*That the Minority Entrepreneurial Training Program be funded at \$90,000 from the contingency allocation with the provision that a more stable source of funds be abtained for this program and that if such funds are obtained, the original allocation be placed back in contingency.

\*That the funds recommended for an addition to the Rosewood/Zaragosa Center to house the Rosewood MHMR Human Development Center include the option of an addition to any other suitable municipal structure in the Rosewood area.

\*Thattime construction of the Congress Avenue storm sewer is recommended with great reluctance. After a formal vote with Commissioners Dixon, Gutierrez and Stohl against and Commissioners Rindy, Chance, Jagger, Mather and Guerrero in favor, the Commission unanimously expressed regret at the necessity of using HCD funds for this project.

The attached recommendation parallels that prepared by the Joint Subcommittee with the exception of those items listed above. The Commission urges the Council to accept this recommendation and to continue and increase its commitment in the poorer and older areas of the city.

Respectfully submitted

s/Miguel A. Geerrero Miguel Guerrero, Chairman Planning Commission

Attachment

April 26, 1977

### PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE 1977 HCD BLOCK GRANT

Givens Park	\$ 175,000
Buttermilk Creek Park	23,000
Senior Activity Center	63,000
Clarksville, Phase IIs	150,000
CDD #12 (Model Cities)	800,000
Tillery Street area drainage	20,000
Congress Avenue area drainage	1,384,000
Paramount Theatre	120,000
Addition to city facility (Rosewood MiningSeater)	30,000
East 6th Street Multipurpose Center	72,000
Family Outpatient Clinic	589,100
Family Planning Clinic	18,300
Dental Clinic	89,400
Minority Entrepreneurial Training	90,000
Glen Osks Urban Renewal	24,000
Blackshear Urban Renewal	270,500
Brackenridge Urban Renewal	115,000
Standard Housing Rehabilitation	1,723,000
Emergency House Repair	79,900
Section 312 Housing Rehabilitation Loans	37,100
Housing Rehabilitation Loan Indemnification	150,000
Housing Relocation Assistance	36,000
Urban Renewal Administration	354,200
Human Resources Department	293,000
Planning Department	158,000
Housing Acquisition-Rehabilitation	<u>176,500</u>
Sub Total	\$6,951,000
Contingency	295,000
TOTAL	\$7,336,000

The following projects, listed in priority order, are strongly recommended for funding from either general bonds or HCD funds should monies become available for allocation during the year.

Wooten Park Improvements	<u>,</u> \$	40,000
Alamo Park Improvements		10,000
Zaragosa Park Improvements	2	30,000
Southeast EMS Station		37,800
Moonlight Towers		50,000
TOTAL	<b>\$</b> 3	87.800

April 26, 1977

# PLANNING COMMISSION 1977 HCD PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY

\$ 261,000 PARK IMPROVEMENTS -

175,000

Givens Park - completion of the recreation center to include essential interior elements and provide a sheltered picnic area and parking. (The original funding level from local bonds for the construction of this recreation center was not adequate to cover actual cost. This allocation will complete the center according to original commitments.)

23,000

Buttermilk Creek Park - adds a pedestrian bridge and play slab. (This project addresses a previous intention to develop the new park and increase accessibility between the northern and southern portions of the St. Johns neighborhood at Buttermilk Creek.)

Senior Activity Center - provides additional parking and landscaping and grounds development. (This will relieve anticipated parking congestion on adjacent neighborhood streets and increase the facility's outdoor functional service for the elderly.)

950,000 STREET IMPROVEMENTS -

150,000

800,000

63,000

Clarksville. Phase IIa - provides for the right-ofway, paving, curbs and gutters, and sidewalks for the first group of streets. (This project is a continuation of an earlier commitment. The funding level represents cash flow (spending) capability within the program year relative to the project's existing status and construction capabilities.)

CDD #12 (Model Cities) = provides for the right-ofway, paving, curbs and gutters, and sidewalks for Phase B, group 1, in addition to engineering for a portion of Phase C. (This project addresses a long term commitment to street improvements begun under the Model Citeesparogram.)

1,404,000 DRAINAGEOUMPROVEMENTS -

20,000

Tillery Street area - Provides the engineering design for an estimated \$180,000 flood relief project along Tillery Street between Govalle Avenue and Kay Street. (This addresses a portion of the larger Boggy Creek drainage problem which is recognized as a high priority for action city-wide as well as locally. This project will not conflict with the Army Corps of Engineers efforts.)

April 26, 1977

### DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS (continued)

\$1,384,000

Congress Avenue area - construction of a storm sewer on Congress Avenue from 11th Street to Town Lake. (The recurrent damage to both public and private property will be eliminated by this project. The project is a vital element in the prevention of deterioration and blight in the inner-city and will provide additional stimulous to increase the private efforts of rehabilitation and restoration necessary for revitalization of the downtown area.)

\$ 120,000

### HISTOREE ROKESERVATION -

120,000

Paramount Theatre - provides for basic improvements to include facade and lobby restoration, and essential stage repairs. (This product will result in removal of a ban of the facility by the Actors Equity Guild which will become effective in September, 1977. The building itself is an important factor in downtown restoration and its performance operation policies include the distribution of free tackets to disadvantaged citizens.)

102,000

### NEIGHBORHOOD FACILITIES -

30,000

Addition to a city facility - provides for land acquisition and design of an addition to an existing or proposed city structure to house the Rosewood MHMR Human Development Center. The existing Rosewood MHMR facility is completely inadequate in both size and condition to meet the needs of existing dlients and staff. The demand for MHMR neighborhood services is rapidly expanding and a new facility is essential for continued operation. Among the facilities in the Rosewood area which may accommodate this addition are the Rosewood/Zaragosa Center, Givens Park Recreation Center and the proposed HRD Neighborhood Center.

72,000

East 6th Street Multipurpose Center - coupled with 2nd year HCD reallocated funds for the East 1st Street Neighborhood Center (\$103,000), this provides for the design of a multipurpose facility and acquisition of additional land at the 6th Street and Comal Street site. This center will house the East 1st Street Neighborhood Center and the "Model Cities" Family Outpatient Clinic and if feasible, the East 1st MHMR Human Development Center and the East Austin EMS Station. (This facility is recommended as an economical and efficient means to accommodate several needs.)

# Attachment 1

# April 26, 1977

696,800	PUBLIC SERVI	CES -
020,000	\$ 589,100	Family Outpatient Clinic - provides operating funds to serve low income residents. (This project addresses a commitment to continuing basic health care services begun under the Model Cities program.)
	18,300	Family Planning Clinic - provides matching funds for an HEW grant to operate this service for low income residents. (As matching funds, this recommendation leverages additional funds to continue the service
	89,400	begun under the Model Cities program.)  Dental Clinic - provides operating funds to address the dental needs of low income residents. (This project will provide for improved continuation of basic services which would be severely hurtailed if these funds were not available.)
	90,000	Minority Entrepreneurial Training Program - this is a three part program consisting of the following:  - Evaluation and training conducted by the Austin Women's Center to provide an individualized plan to maximize each client's profit potential.  - Construction Contractors Assistance conducted by the Mexican American Chamber of Commerce to increase the participation of minority contractors in the city's bidding process.  - Goods and Services Assistance conducted by the Forward Movement of Minority Contractors Association to increase the participation of minority businesses qualified to provide goods and services to the city.
409,500		F HRBAN RENEWAL PROJECTS - These projects address the o complete and close-out existing Urban Renewal  Gain Oaks - temporary operation and disposition of property  Blackshear - acquisition of property and improvements to implement the approved NDP plan.  Brackenridge - condemnation appeals, some clearance and disposition of property and restoration of the Hardeman House at Symphony Square.
2,556,700	assistance p types of uni Friedman's U	BILITATION - The following housing rehabilitation regrams reflect a commitment to expand the number and its assisted. These programs are a response to Mayor Irban Alternatives Program and represent a first effort comprehensive housing assistance program.  Standard Rehabilitation - provides loans and grants to bring 175 houses to city standards.  Emergency Repair - provides grants up to \$1,500 to address major deficiencies in 50 units which cannot qualify for standard rehabilitation.
	·	\$ 589,100  18,300  89,400  90,000  90,000  270,500  115,000  270,500  115,000  115,000  115,000

### April 26, 1977

\$ 37,100	Section 312 provides the administrative costs to
	process applications which will result in 75 low
	interest loans for rehabilitation.
150,000	Loan Indemnification - provides the "seed" money to
	involve local financial institutions in a guaranteed
	loan program for rehabilitation.
176,500	Acquisition Rehabilitation - provides for the
	acquisition and rehabilitation of 10 structures.
36,000	Relocation Assistance - provides "clients" with
·	personal guidance to various housing alternatives
	and assistance programs as well as direct relocation
	assistance to 25 emergency cases.
354,200	Administration - provides the operatinggbudget for
	the three Urban Renewal projects and the Standard
	Rehabilitation and Emergency Repair Housing programs.

\$	451,000	HCD PROGRAM	ADMINISTRATION/PLANNING -
	•	293,000	Human Resources Department - administration,
			management and project monitoring and evaluation.
		158,000	Planning Department - HCD Application development,
			project coordination and development of the Housing
			Assistance Plan.

\$6,951,000	SUB TOTAL
385,000	CONTINUENCY

\$7,336,000 <u>TOTAL</u>

The following projects, listed in priority order, are strongly recommended for funding from either general bonds or HCD funds should monies become available for allocation during the year.

\$ 4 <b>00000</b> 0	Wooten Park - playground development and improvement.
10,000	Alamo Park - landscaping and backstop.
230,000	Zaragosa Park - land acquisition, irrigation and covered playslab.
57,800	Southeast EMS Station - provides a permanent facility to house
•	staff and equipment for emergency medical services.
50,000	Moonlight Towers - provide matching funds for an anticipated grant
•	to replace and repair the most deteriorated towers.

\$ 387,800 TOTAL

April 28, 1977

Memo to: Mr. Dan Davidson, City Manager

From: Lois Watson, Chairperson

Community Development Commission

Elliott Naishtat, Vice Chairperson Community Development Commission

Subject: Project Recommendations for the 1977 HCD Block Grant

The Commission is paeased to present its recommendation of projects to be funded by the 1977 HCD block grant to you for transmittal to the City Council. The process used to develop this recommendation included the identification of needs at neighborhood meetings and public hearings, the development of project proposals by city departments and non-city organizations, and the review of these proposals relative to needs and commitments by a moint subcommittee of the Community Development Commission and the Planning Commission.

The point subcommittee's recommendations were reviewed at a special Community Development Commission meeting on April 25, 1977, and served as a basis which, with few exceptions parallels this recommendation. As the only city board with a membership requirement that includes elected representatives of the poor, the Commission feels obligated to maximize the impact of HCD programs in target areas. This recommendation reflects that obligation, yet a few projects which indirectly or incidentally benefit target areas are included because of their urgent need or the overall importance and scope of their impact. The Commission urges the Council to accept this recommendation and to continue and increase its commitment to improvements in the poorer and older areas of the city.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Lois Watson Lois Watson, Chairperson Community Development Commission

s/Elliott Naishtat
Elliott Naishtat, Vice Chairperson
Community Development Commission

April 25, 1977

# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE 1977 HCD BLOCK GRANT

Givens Park	\$ 175,000
Buttermilk Creek Park	23,000
Senior Activity Center	63,000
St. Elmo Park	25,000
Wooten Park	40,000
Alamo Park	10,000
Martin Playground	36,000
Zaragosa Park	24,200
Clarksville, Phase IIa	150,000
CDD #12 (Model Cities)	800,000
Tillery Street area drainage	20,000
Congress Avenue area drainage	1,384,000
Addition to Rosewood/Zaragosa Center	30,000
East 6th Street Multipurpose Center	72,000
Southeast Austin EMS Station	57,800
Family Outpatient Clinic	589,100
Family Planning Clinic	18,300
Dental Clinic	89,400
Glen Beks Urban Renewal	24,000
Blackshear Urban Renewal	270,500
Brackenridge Urban Renewal	42,000
Standard Housing Rehabilitation	1,723,000
Emergency House Repair	79,900
Section 312 Housing Rehabilitation Loans	37,100
Housing Rehabilitation Loan Indemnification	150,000
Housing-Acquisition-Rehabilitation	176,500
Housing Relocation Assistance	36,000
Urban Renewal administration	354,200
Human Resources Department	293,000
Planning Department	158,000
Sub Total	\$6,951,000
Contingency	385,000
TOTAL	\$7,336,000

The following projects are strongly recommended for funding from other sources such as general bonds or HCD funds should monies become available for allocation during the year.

Zaragosa Park Improvements Moonlight Towers	Ş	205,800 50,000
Brackenridge Urban Renewal (Hardeman House Restoration)		73,000
Paramount Theatre		120,000
TOTAL	\$	448,800

The Commission recommends that the city pursue the possibility of developing an additional source of funds for certain projects (e.g. historic) which could be available if the one cent additional bed tax on hotels is enacted as authorized by the State legislature this year.

April 25, 1977

### COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION 1977 HCD PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY

٠	206 200	שמואל משנו עמבני	PN TC
\$	396,200	PARK IMPROVEM \$ 175,000	
		à 1/2,000	Givens Park - completion of the recreation center to include essential interior elements and provide a
			sheltered picnic area and parking. (The original
			funding level from local bonds for the construction
			of this recreation center was not adequate to cover
			actual cost. This allocation will complete the
		22 000	center according to original commitments.)
		23,000	Buttermilk Creek Park - adds a pedestrian bridge and
			playslab. (This project addresses a previous
			intention to develop the new park and increase
			accessibility between the northern and southern
			portions of the St. Johns neighborhood at Buttermilk
			Creek.)
		63,000	Senior Activity Center - provides additional parking
			and landscaping and grounds development. (This will
			relieve anticipated parking congestian em adjacent
			neighborhood streets and increase the facility's
			outdoor functional service for the elderly.)
		25,000	St. Elmo Park - provides for the construction of
			restroom facilities for this heavily used South
			Austin playground.
		40,000	Wooten Park - development and addition of complete
			playground facilities is provided for this currently
			inadequately equipped park.
		10,000	Alamo Park - provides landscaping and a backstop to
			supplement other improvements currently underway
			or planned.
		36,000	Martin Playereudd - provides lighting for the
			multipurpose athletic field along Town Lake.
		24,200	Zaragosa Park - this will partially fund improvements
			which include irrigation, a covered playslab and the
			relocation of a baseball field. (An additional
			\$205,800 will be required to complete these improve-
			ments.)
	950,000	STREET IMPROV	
		150,000	Clarksville, Phase IIa - provides for the righteof-
			way, paving, curbs and gutters, and sidewalks for
			the first group of streets. (This project is a
			continuation of an earlier commitment. The funding
			level represents cash flow (spending) capability
			within the program year relative to the project's
			existing status and construction capabilities.)
		800,000	CDD #12 (Model Cities) - provides for the right-of-
			way, paving, curbs and gutters, and sidewalks for
			Phase B, group 1, in addition to engineering for a
			portion of Phase C. (This project addresses a long
			term commitment to street improvements begun under
			the Model Cities program.)

May 5, 1977

ATTACHMENT 2

April 25, 1977

\$1,404,000

DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS -

Tillery Street area - provides the engineering design for an estimated \$180,000 flood relief project along Tillery Street between Govalle Avenue and Kay Street. (This addresses a portion of the larger Boggy Creek drainage problem which is recognized as a high priority for action city-wide as well as locally. This project will not conflict with the Army Corps of Engineers efforts.)

1.384.000

20.000

Congress Avenue area - construction of a storm sewer on Congress Avenue from 11th Street to Town Lake. (The recurrent damage to both public and private property will be eliminated by this project. The project is a vital element in the prevention of deterioration and blight in the inner-city and will provide additional stimulous to increase the private efforts of rehabilitation and restoration necessary for revitalization of the downtown area.)

159,800

NEIGHBORHOOD FACILITIES -

Addition to Rosewood/Zaragosa Center - provides for land acquisition and design of an addition to the existing structure to house the Rosewood MHMR Human Development Center. (The existing Rosewood MHMR facility is completely inadequate in both size and condition to meet the needs of existing clients and staff. The demand for MHMR neighborhood services is rapidly expanding and a new facility is essential

for continued operation.)

East 6th Street Multipurpose Center - coupled with 2nd year HCD reallocated funds for the East 1st Street Neighborhood Center (\$103,000), this provides for the design of a multipurpose facility and acquisition of additional land at the 6th Street and Comal Street site. This center will house the East 1st Street Neighborhood Center and the "Model Cities" Family Outpatient Clinic and if feasible, the East 1st MHMR Human Development Center and the East Austin EMS Station. (This facility is recommended as an economical and efficient means to accommodate several needs.)

**57,800** 

72,000

EMS Station - provides for the construction of an emergency medical service station in southeast Austin. This will increase the efficiency of service as well as provide for safety of equipment and supplies and proper climate control for medicines.

696,800

PUBLIC SERVICES -

589,100 Family Outpatient Clinic - provides operating funds to serve low income residents. (This project addresses a commitment to continuing basic health care services begun under the Model Cities program.)

### April 25, 1977

	PUBLIC SERVIC	ES (continued)
	\$ 14,300	Family Planning Clinic - provides matching funds for
		an HEW grant to operate this service for low income
		residents. (As matching funds, this recommendation
		leverages additional funds to continue the service
		begun under the Model Cities program.)
	89,400	Dental Clinic - provides operating funds to address
		the dental needs of low income residents. (This
		project will provide for improved continuation of
		basic services which would be severely curtailed if
		these funds were not available.)
		1000 15140 Hold 201 8-1-1017
\$ 336,500	COMPLETION OF	URBAN RENEWAL PROJECTS - These projects address the
		complete and close-out existing Urban Renewal projects
	24,000	Glen Oaks - temporary operating and disposition of
	.,,	property.
	270,500	Blackshear - acquisition of property and improvements
	270,500	to implement the approved NDP plan.
	42,000	Brackenridge - condemnation appeals, some clearance
	42,000	and disposition of property.
		and disposition of property.
2,556,700	HOUSTNG REMAR	ILITATION - The following housing rehabilitation
2,330,700		ograms reflect a commitment to expand the number and
		s assisted. These programs are a response to Mayor
		ban Alternatives Program and represent a first effort
		comprehensive housing assistance program.
	1.723.000	Standard Rehabilitation - provides loans and grants
	1,723,000	
	70.000	to bring 175 houses to city standards.
	79,900	Emergency Repair - provides grants up to \$1,500 to
		address major deficiencies in 50 units which cannot
		qualify for standard rehabilitation.
	37,100	Section 312 - provides the administrative costs to
		process applications which will result in 75 low
		interest loans for rehabilitation.
	150,000	Loan Indemnification - provides the "seed" money to
		involve local financial institutions in a guaranteed
		loan program for rehabilitation.
	176,500	Acquisition-Rehabilitation - provides for the acqui-
		sition and rehabilitation of 10 structures.
	<b>36,</b> 000	Relocation Assistance - provides "clients" with
		personal guidance to various housing alternatives
		and assistance programs as well as direct relocation
		assistance to 25 emergency cases.
	354,200	Administration - provides the operating budget fort
	•	the three Urban Renewal projects and the Standard
		Rehabilitation and Emergency Repair Housing programs.
451,000		DMINISTRATION/PLANNING -
-	293,000	Human Resources Department - administration, manage-
	·	ment and project monitoring and evaluation.
	158,000	Planning Department - HCD Application development,
	•	project coordination and development of the Housing
		Assistance Plan.
		- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1

April 25, 1977

\$6,951,000 SUB TOTAL

385,000 CONTINGENCY

\$7,336,000 <u>TOTAL</u>

The following projects are strongly recommended for funding from other sources such as general bonds or HCD funds should monies become available for allocation during the year..

\$	205,800	Zaragosa Park - this will provide the funds required
		to complete the project which is recommended for
		partial funding above.
	50,000	Moonlight Towers - provides matching funds for an
	·	antitipated grant to restore and repair the six
		most deteriorated towers.
	120,000	Paramount Theatre - provides for basic improvements
	·	to include facade and lobby restoration, and essential
		stage repairs. (This project will result in removal of
		a ban of the facility by the Actors Equity Guild which
		will become effective in September, 1977. The
		building itself is an impertant factor in downtown
		restoration and its performance operation policies
		include the distribution of free tickets to
		diaddantaggddctitieuns))
	73,000	Brackenridge Urban Renewal - this will provide a
	•	substantial portion of an estimated \$145,000 necessary
		to restore the Hardeman House at Symphony Square.

The Commission recommends that the city pursue the possibility of developing an additional source of funds for certain projects (e.g., historic) which could be available if the one cent additional bed tax on hotels is enacted as authorized by the State legislature this year.

#### MEMORANDUM

TO : Mayor and Members of Council

FROM: Dan H. Davidson

City Manager

SUBJECT: HCD Recommendations

DATE: May 5, 1977

Assistant City Managers Jim Miller and Joseph Liro have met with officials of the Department of Housing and Urban Development and have reported to me that a preliminary review of the Third Year Plan discloses that some projects are ineligible for federal funds and that others are of questionable eligibility.

New guidelines being issued by the Carter Administration emphasize that Community Development programs must give "maximum feasible priority to activities which will benefit low or moderate income families or aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight." Every program and every project of every program is being measured against this standard. Other regulations restrict the flexibility of the program especially as far as operating programs are concerned. New operating programs will require extraordinary justification and the continuation of Model Cities programs with block grant funds is outlawed for all practical purposes.

Specific findings covered these projects:

Wooten Park (\$40,000) -- This project does not meet the low to moderate income test.

Moonlight Towers (\$50,000) -- This project meets neither the income nor the blight test.

Southeast EMS Station (\$57,800) -- This project does not appear to meet the low to moderate income test. Also, Federal regulations are unclear concerning the eligibility of emergency medical service stations for these funds.

Minority Entrepreneurial Training Program (\$90,000) -- This operating program would be allowed only if it were part of a concentrated plan of improvements within a designated target area. Additionally, it would need to meet all other Federal tests.

Family Outpatient Clinic, Family Planning Clinic, Dental Clinic (\$696,800) -- These Model Cities projects were eligible for funding under the Housing and Community Development Program only to meet the hold harmless provisions of the Model Cities Program. The Model Cities program expires in August, 1977, and cannot be financed with block grant funds after that time.

Paramount Theatre (\$120,000) -- This project fails to meet either of the two principal tests.

HUD officials are seeking definitive eligibility statements on each of these items, as well as on the Congress Avenue drainage project. Written responses are expected next week. In the meantime, there is sufficient reasons to question whether these items should be included in the proposals considered by the City Council.

s/Dan Davidson Dan H. Davidson City Manager

### PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED MASTER PLAN

Mayor Friedman opened the public hearing on the proposed Master Plan at 2:00 p.m. by telling those in the Council Chamber that the plan had been in preparation for a considerable length of time and through the help and wisdom of thousands of Austin people who have participated, along with the staff, as well as two separate Councils, it is not ready for presentation.

Mr. Dick Lillie, Director of Planning, addressed the Council by saying each city has a spirit of its own, the reflection of the collected attitudes and aspirations of its citizens. The attractions of Austin in recent years has been so great to business, industry, individuals and families that the 1970 and 1976 special census identified the City as one of the fastest growing in the country. This means an upward cycle of a demand for more jobs andmor more services. Citizens have been alarmed that rapid economic and population expansion would degrade the special qualities that made Austin so attractive in the first place. There has been, for several years, a desire for new ideas in planning and an administration to support the amenities the City is known for. The Austin Tomsprow program was developed by the City Council to coordinate ideas identified by people as they attempted to set priorities and to maintain community identity while trying to understand the effects of growth. program was designed to revise the City's 1961 comprehensive plan on the basis of sound updated research and in particular goals and priorities of citizens participating in the planning process. The Charter specifies that one responsibility of the Planning Commission is to make and amend a master plan for the physical development of the City. The plan is required to contain the Commission's recommendations for growth, development and beautification of the City. And by definition such a plan must be comprehensive, general and longrange. First it should encompass all geographical parts of the community and metropolitan area and all elements effecting the City's physical development. Second, it should be a general statement of policy and proposals which should not specify operational details. Finally, the plan should look beyond the pressing day to day decision to the community's greater long range goals. The presentation, Mr. Lillie continued, cannot possibly discuss the plan adequately in the time allotted. Therefore, he said, they would only identify the format of the document and Mr. Miguel Guerro, Chairman of the Planning Commission, will discuss the recommendations of the Commission.

Mr. Lillie explained the City Council approved the goals of the Austin Tomorrow program in June 1973. Its purpose, to involve as many people as possible in the planning process. It was a three-phase program with the Council appointing 250 members to a Goals Assembly. That Assembly in turn trained neighborhood representatives, and then the carrying out of the neighborhood meetings. The assembly was the key group...250 witizens appointed by the City Council whose responsibility was to guide the program, recruit neighborhood representatives, recruit citizen participation, help conduct neighborhood meetings, and then to compile the final report. Tamineighborhood zones were designated by using census data and 56 meetings were held, (five meetings in each of the ten zones and six meetings outside of the City limits.) A total of some 3500 people participated. During the 56 meetings citizens had a choice of participating in discussions of economics, population, housing, the City's core area, neighborhoods, land use, transportation, the environment, and health and social services.

This participation produced information that represented problems and solutions to problems for these topics by geographic areas of the City as perceived by the citizens attending the meetings. This report was presented to the City Council in May 1975 and adopted by this City Council in the summer of 1975. By City Council resolution this report led to the comprehensive plan that is being presented with all of its accompanying goals, policies, and objectives. This plan, if adopted, should intummreeflect the City's priorities in the annual review of the Capital Improvements Program and in the annual review of the budget, and to amend it in new codes and ordinances. The Goals report was submitted to City departments, boards and commissions, but was under the primary reponsibility of the Austin Tomorrow Ongoing Committee appointed by the City Committee convert the Goals program to a comprehensive plan. The foregoing, Mr. Lillie pointed out, is what is generally contained in Chapter 1 of the report.

Chapter 2 provides the detailed direction for the policy decisions of the users...that is, City Council, Planning Commission, City administration departments and citizens within the community. The chapter, according to Mr.Lillie, is divided into several layers of specificity. The first, the broad goals that deal with housing and neighborhoods, to improve housing and neighborhood quality. Still more detailed, more specific, more short ranged are policies to obtain the objectives...plans to effectuate the objectives, what is, to develop and implement plans on the needs of each neighborhood and to protect neighborhoods from intrusion of high entensity uses. Policies and directives are included for eight areas:

- 1. Urban Design
- 2. Economic Development
- 3. Environmental Management
- 4. Government Utility Services
- 5. Housing and Neighborhoods
- 6. Parks, Open Space and Leisure Facilities
- 7. Transportation System
- 8. Health and Human Services

Chapter 3 deals with development suitability in the natural and urban areas. Mr. Lillie demonstrated what he meant by use of maps to indicate environmental geology of the Austin area and how it can develop in the future. The plan makes a strong recommendation to preserve Austin's neighborhoods, the urban environment. The study should include background information for each neighborhood, with identification of neighborhood goals and priorities. Chapter 4 deals with the growth management process which will demonstrate an on going cycle of monitoring, evaluation, recording and reassessment. Decision makers of the future must be able to choose a development pattern which has been developed jointly by professionals and citizens and is within the ability of City government to accomplish either through controls or incentives. A comprehensive report will be prepared and presented to the City Council every two years, including progress made in implementing the goals of the comprehensive plan, what conditions in the City have improved or deteriorated and what factors are causing change in the City. On alternate years an over all analysis will be prepared, and every six years the plan will be reviewed in depth. Mr. Lillie called attention to maps which show the projected growth of Austin to 1995 and the proposed use of land. He indicated development will occur mostly in a north-south direction with lesser development in the east and west.

The text ends, said Mr. Lillie, by recognizing the existing lack of legislative authority that cities have in unincorporated areas in the state of Texas and identifies existing tools which should be used to guide both public and private development. Mr. Lillie then took the opportunity to publicly thank the City Council, previous Council, for the courage and foresight to initiate this program and the continued commitment to the program. He also thanked Mr. Davidson whose faith and trust held steadfast, the Austin Tomorrow Ongoing Committee for their thousands of hours of work and commitment to this program, his own staff, and most importantly the citizens who believed that the opportunity to maximize citizen participation had arrived and spent over 40,000 hours undertaking a unique experiment.

MIGUEL GUERRERO said the Planning Commission's recommendations consist of three separate elements, which, taken in combination comprise the Commission's draft of the Austin Tomorrow's Comprehensive Plan.

- 1. Printed draft of four chapters and two appendices. It has been reviewed by the Austin Tomorrow Ongoing Committee, other major city boards and commissions and city departments. Also has been subject to comments by the general public.
- 2. An attachment which are final provisions of the written draft, Attachment A.
- 3. Future development pattern to guide future growth decisions by both public and private decision makers in Austin.

Mr. Guerrero then cited examples of what was recommended to be done by 1995; single-family units mainly in present City limits, no urban sprawl of leapfrogging, extension of municipal water and wastewater service limited to priority growth areas, urban development along north-south IH 35 corridor, downtown maintained as active retail, business and cultural center, older neighborhoods would remain stabilized, determoration would be reversed, bus service and light-rail transit service, and historic preservation.

The Planning Commission wishes to express its gratitude, Mr. Guerrero said, to the City staff, the Austin Commorrow Ongoing Committee, and the other City boards and commissions for their extensive efforts in the development of this plan.

Mr. Dan Davidson told the Council and audience that the concept of a Master Plan is unique. He said he did not know of another plan in this particular form anywhere in the country that's been adopted. It is unique, he continued, not only because it reflects the attitudes of our citizens, but every segment of the community has had an opportunity to participate. One of the main points he pointed out is that this plan will serve for future City Councils and for future generations a wealth of opportunity for City Council policy making. Adoption of the plan will not set in concrete all the detailed recommendations that are contained in the document. It is an opportunity, however, for the next City Council to look in detail at each proposal. What the plan means to him, Mr. Davidson continued, is any future adoption of Capital Improvements or annual Operating Budgets will utilizeethis plan recommendation as a guide and basis for decision making on the part of future City Councils. It would mean that all future consideration of those palicy decisions would be in keeping with the

attitudes, and the objectives reflected in the overall recommenation. The plan is flexible, for example if some member of staff or board or commission brings a recommendation to the City Council, the City Council will be able to determine if the recommendation complies with the Master Plan, demand a fiscal note or ordinance be prepared, indicating the fiscal impact to the City's budget and on the citizens. Mr. Davidson recommends the plan be adopted and implemented through reassignment of personnel necessary to do the job instead of adding on people. The plan should be looked at on a long-term basis...net what it will be in September or December of this year, but what will happen in 25 years or more.

As a City Council adopts portionssof this plan in detail, Mr. Davidson feels the City administration should be directed to design innovation to insure that the implementation of any part of the program will not produce delays or inconveniences for citizens who must deal with the process. The plan does not have to be prohibitive for anyone, and can be the absolute excuse for us to rid ourselves of some of the traditional programs that cause undue costs and hardships to those who must shuffle the consequences of any City legislation. The growth pattern of the City does not represent a wall around Austin, either keeping people out or in, it is merely a guideline to encourage the City Council to concentrate expenditures under the CIP or to concentrate the provision of facilities within the boundaries. Monitoring devices are recommended by the Planning Commission so that if what is done is not what they want, it can be changed. Mr. Davidson ended by saying he strongly recommends the adoption of this plan with an understanding of the exciting possibilities it has for the future policy making in the City of Austin.

KEN SIMMERMAN, Austin Association of Builders, reported they have had a great deal of interest in the Plan, and that more than 200 members of their association participated in the program during its term of activity and have since then spent considerable timertrying to understand its effects. He indicated that BILL SCUDDER of their Board of Directors would speak as their official spokesman.

Bill Scudder said Austin is a beautiful city and has not become that way so much by efforts of people in the past as by the enhancement of natural beauty of trees and water. The past Master Plan has been irregevant and ineffective. He stated the Austin Association of Builders wholeheartedly endorses the plan and feels the way it has been done, it will be helpful to everyone in the future, both public and private. The plan was built around the Austin Goals participated in by 3500 people, which is 1% of the City. He extended his compliments to the present Council. The concept of the plan is contiguous growth in a harmonious way. This country will change in the 20 years of the plan, and Austin will have to respond to the change. He said the plan was transmitted to the Council on April 28 and he thought the Council should have more time to absorb it and wondered if they could do it in a week. Some goals he felt are contradictory. He felt the plan should be publicized in a readable form. He also asked that if the plan is adopted in a short length of time, it should be recognized as a guideline. He asked that the Council issue a clarifying statement addressing some of the particularly important contradictions contained in the plan. He then passed a list of things they aske the Council to address themselves to and a guideline that can be adopted with the plan: They were as follows:

- 1. Recognize that home ownership is the goal of many.
- 2. Recognize that auto will be prime mode of transportation.
- 3. Contiguous growth pattern is most desirable.
- 4. Direct creation of new land use ordinances.
- 5. Overhaul administrative procedures related to development.
- 6. Mandate innovation in land use.
- 7. Mandate innovation in construction.
- 8. Choice of home and life style is available.
- 9. Cantral Business District is not dead.
- 10. Mandate an environmentally repressive prian not specifically a corridor plan.
- 11. Recognize inherent land ownership rights.
- 12. Development not prohibited during plan formulation.
- 13. Eliminate administrative changes of attachment "A".
- 14. Open market is source of monitoring information.
- 15. Call this the Austin Plan.

In responding to Mr. Scudder's remarks, Mayor Friedman said the plan clearly did not inhibit the growth of Austin into the hills, but rather environmental restrictions, based on the input of thousands of citizens. He said Mr. Scudder was defining it in a very restrictive sense, but that was not what the plan said. No one is precluded from building in the Austin area; the north-south corridor is advised to be the best development for Austin, but it is a wide area, not a narrow strip. He also pointed out that the Council has had more than a week to look at the plan...the Council has been working for two years with updates of reports as they were being drafted and getting updates of those drafts as well as the final report that was sent in.

Mr. Scudder said he thought the plan should speak of incentives. There was a lot of talk of utilities and there should be some control as to what direction the City goes. He asked that the plan recognize the inherent land ownership rights of people.

Mayor Friedman asked if Mr. Scudder was talking facts or if he was talking about ways of misleading and giving false impressions. Everything Mr. Scudder has pointed to has been clarified in the document. He said he thought Mr. Scudder was not making suggestions, but was trying to cloud the issue. No one, Mayor Friedman, said, is taking away anyone's property rights. He said the whole Master Plan is a guideline for this Council and subsequent Councils. Mayor Friedman asked him what he was trying to argue about because the 15 points he brought out have already been spoken to in the document, and in a satisfactory fashion. Mr. Scudder answered that a great deal of development has been stopped in the Austin area because of the administrative nightmare that it takes to get development done. Mayor Friedman said that was his opinion. Councilmember Linn told Mr. Scudder that if he did not think downtown Austin needs special care, he needs to walk around and take a look. After more discussion Mayor Friedman stated that what the Council adopts will be an outline of what has been worked on for several years by the citizens of this community. The priorities and policies will be up to future Councils.

DALE NAPIER, member of the Austin Tomorrow Goals Assembly from the time it was initiated to the time it was terminated in 1975, said he was concerned about how closely the Master Plan reflects some of the problems and goals of the economic section. He thinks economics is the key of the Master Plan. He felt the Master Plan had missed the point a little in the definition of Austin's growth.

WOODROW SLEDGE, representing the Austin Independent School District, said he was there to endorse in principle the plan and wanted to remind people that the City of Austin and the Austin Independent School District are not nations at war, they are in bed together. 90% of the taxpayers of the School District are also taxpayers for the City of Austin. The school district is responsible for an area of 250 square miles, which is more than the City of Austin. He said he felt this had been very well taken care of and there were potential school sites which will be served in the future. He asked the carryover members of the Council to remember that anytime you hurt the patrons and taxpayers of the School District, you are also hurting the taxpayers of Austin.

JERRY LOBDILL, resident outside the City limits of Austin, said it was his opinion there was no similarity between the Austin Tomorrow Goals Report and the Austin Tomorrow Comprehensive Plan. He felt the former is a credit to the people of Austin and the latter is a farce. He said that although the plan states that it expresses how "the citizens and their government leaders want the community to develop," anyone who can read can see that the citizens' desires have been perverted, distorted, and/or ignored throughout the comprehensive plan by the "government leaders" who guided its preparation. After further statements as to how he personally interpreted the plan, he said that his interpretation "is an accurate interpretation of the Comprehensive Plan relative to growth and the environment, as I read it. I am not willing, for good reason, to rely on Mr. Lillie's word that he won't invoke this interpretation." He thereby went on to outline his idea of what actions should be taken and said he recommended "failure to produce such a document should be sufficient cause for dismissal of the City Manager."

Councilmember Lebermann asked Mr. Lobdill where he lived and he answered, "I live in the ETJ presently."

NADINE WHITELY, President of her neighborhood group, was there to speak for herself. She had two points:

- In the comprehensive plan she said she was led to believe that the City would like to get their fingers in their pie. She said they didn't want the City unless they were asked for.
- 2. She said there were plans for projected growth and asked why a no-growth rate had not been thought about.

BILL MOORE, Chairman, Urban Transportation Commission, said they appreciated being in the process of developing the plan and endorse it unanimously. It is, he said, an over all view of the citizens of Austin.

NANCY BENE, President, League of Women Voters, expressed pleasure that the present Council will pass on thepplan and are in full support of it.

JIM WELLS, President of the On Going Austin Commission, said that in the future as the plan is implemented, each one can be proud of the process that has gotten us to this plan. Mayor Friedman asked him if it was his opinion that the plan does not stray from the original goals. Mr. Wells answered, yes, without a question, every member of his committee has insisted that the Goals document be followed in every word and in every respect.

Mayor Friedman said that he did not want to take the time previously but in all fairness, the unwarranted and totally unfactual attack on the Planning Department needs no dignifying other than commenting that the fact someone loses the fight to get a road to benefit his property is not necessarily a requirement to attack the Planning Department's process and the whole Master Plan. "Mr. Lobdill, notwithstanding," said Mayor Friedman, "I am afraid you are entirely in error."

Mayor Friedman announced the public hearing would be continued May 12, 1977, at 10:30 a.m. He explained that some people were having language problems and that would probably never be cleared up as we all have different definitions. But, he said, the Goals guidelines have been followed completely in the Comprehensive Plan.

# RELEASE OF EASEMENTS

Councilmember Himmelblau moved that the Council adopt a resolution authorizing the release of the following easement:

The five (5) foot public utility easement on the south, west and north sides of Lot 13, Airdale Addition, known locally as 1706 Bouldin Avenue. (Requested by Suzanne Haynes in behalf of Fort Worth Mortgage Corporation)

The motion, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Snell, carried by the following vote:

yes: Councilmembers Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmember Himmelblau

Noes: None

#### CONSORTIUM AGREEMENT

Councilmember Himmelblau moved that the Council adopt a resolution to enter into a revised Consortium Agreement for the Capital Area Manpower Consortium consisting of the City of Austin, and the counties of Bastrop, Blanco, Burnet, Caldwell, Fayette, Hayes, Lee, Travis and Williamson. The motion, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Snell, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Lebermann, Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann

Noes: None

## COST DIFFERENCE

Councilmember Himmelblau moved that the Council adopt a resolution authorizing payment to the following:

OAK FOREST DEVELOPERS, the cost difference of 12"/8" water main installed in Oak Forest, Section 3 - \$5,715,68.

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro

Tem Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann

Noes: None

Councilmember Himmelblau moved that the Council adopt a resolution authorizing payment to the following:

STRIPLING-BLAKE LUMBER COMPANY, the cost difference of 12"/8" water mains installed in Timber Village - \$7,260.57.

The metion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem

Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann

Noes: None

Councilmember Himmelblau moved that the Council adopt a resolution authorizing payment to the following:

MARY B. HILL AND CLIFF PECK CHEVROLET, INC., the cost difference of 12"/8" water mains installed in Cliff B. Peck Addition - \$6,696.48.

The metion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem

Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann

Noes: None

Councilmember Himmelblau moved that the Council adopt a resolution authorizing payment to the following:

WOODSIDE DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, INC., the cost difference of 12"/8" water main installed in Western Oaks 1-B - \$8,074.92.

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem

Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann

Noes: None

Councilmember Himmelblau moved that the Council adopt a resolution authorizing payment to the following:

WOODSIDE DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, INC., - the cost difference of 12"/8" water line and 16"/8" wastewater line installed in Western Oaks I-D - \$7,713.62.

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem

Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann

Noes: None

Councilmember Himmelblau moved that the Council adopt a resolution authorizing payment to the following:

JOHN CAMPBELL, the cost difference of 12"/8" water mains and appurtenances installed in Buckingham East, Section 1 - \$4,753.98.

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem

Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann

Noes: None

#### UTILITY JOINT USE AGREEMENT

Councilmember Trevino moved that the Council adopt a resolution to enter into a Utility Boint Use Agreement with the State Department of Highways and Public Transportation for Utility Adjustment in Loop 1 from U. S. 183 in northwest Austin south to north of Northland Drive (R.M. 2222). The motion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmember Travino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell,

Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn

Noes: None

#### APPROACH-MAIN CONTRACT

Councilmember Trevino moved that THE Council adopt a resolution authorizing the following 5-year Approach Main Contract:

KEITH R. ACHESON, D.D.S., Trustee - Cash Payment in lieu of a 5-year approach main contract for wastewater service to Acheson, Frazier, Hutchison. (Total cost: \$5,327.56; Cost to City 75% \$3.995.67)

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers

Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn, Trevino

Noes: None

#### SUPERVISORY TRAINING

Mayor Pro Tem Snell, moved that the Council adopt a resolution for an agreement between the City of Austin Personnel Department and the Austin Housing Authority of the City of Austin to provide supervisory training in Management principles and Management Communication. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Trevino, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann,

Lebermann, Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman

Noes: None

#### CONTRACTS AWARDED

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution awarding the following contracts:

Bid Award:

- Ribbons: Adding machine, calculator and typewriter, General Services Division. Six (6) month Supply Agreement including option for an additional six months.

OLIVETTI CORPORATION 1016 West 6th Street Austin, Texas - Items 1 through 8 & 13 - \$745.25

LINDSAY OFFICE PRODUCTS
1620 Manor Road
Austin, Texas

- Items 9 through 12, 14-24, 27, 28, and 30. - \$8,046.62.

DUNCAN TYPEWRITER 3031 North Lamar Austin, Texas - Items 25 & 26 - \$335.02

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn,

Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell

Noes: None

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution awarding the following contract:

BESCO, INC 1616 West 5th Street Austin, Texas - Street Light Poles, Central Stores, Division. 300 ea. @ \$184.99 Total \$55,497.00

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn,

Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell

Noes: None

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution awarding the following contract:

GRAYBAR ELECTRIC COMPANY 7434 North Lamar Austin, Texas

- Luminaires, Central Stores Division Item 1 - 425 ea. @ \$38.4025 Item 2 - 400 ea. @ \$37.6225 Total - \$31,370.00

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn,

Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell

Noes: None

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution awarding the following contract:

VISTONS 10871 Thorley Road Santa Ana, California - Crystal Shield Book Savers, Austin Public Library. Items 1-7 - \$8,672.55

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn,

Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell

Noes: None

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution awarding the following contract:

ARTHUR ANDERSEN & COMPANY 221 W. 6th Street. Suite 2000 Austin, Texas

- Design Develop and Install Finance Accounting System - \$250,000.00

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn,

Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell

Noes: None

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution awarding the following contracts:

Bid Award:

- Radiology and Other Equipment, Phase 2B - Hospital Expansion - \$1,131,692.00

GENERAL ELECTRIC 601-C East 53rd Street Austin, Texas

- Items 4, 5, 10, 11 - \$190,906.00 Radiological Equipment - Special Rms. R. P. KINCHELOE (Phillips) 1701 Evergreen Avenue Austin, Texas

- Items 1, 2, 6, 7, 8 - \$635,189.00 Radiological Equipment Fluorscopy and Tomography

R. P. KINCHELOE (Phillips) 1701 Evergreen Avenue Austin, Texas

- Item 9 - \$135,717.00 Item 4B - \$34,000.00Special Tomography - Equipment

CGR MEDICAL CORPORATION 1112 Parkdale Waco, Texas

- Items 13-16 - \$31,206.00

R. P. KINCHELOE (Phillips) 1701 Evergreen Avenue Austin, Texas

- Item 14 - \$10,975.00

GENERAL ELECTRIC 601-C East 53rd Street Austin, Texas

- Item 15 - \$16,620.00

AMERICAN STERILIZER COMPANY 1002 Central Expressway

Suite 239 Richardson, Texas - Items 17 & 19 - \$18,560.00

CASTLE COMPANY Division of Sybron Corporation 3850 Marquis Drive Garland, Texas

- Item 18 - \$923.00

JAHN DENTAL SUPPLY COMPANY 507 Brooklyn Avenue San Antonio, Texas

- Items 20, 21, 22, 23, 36, 27 -\$9.925.60

PATTERSON DENTAL COMPANY 8021 Pinebrook Street San Antonio, Texas

- Item 24 - \$931.00

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn,

Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell

Noes: None

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution awarding the following contracts:

Bid Award:

- Radiology and Other Equipment, Phase 2B - Hospital Expansion. (continued)

S.S. WHITE COMPANY Dental Equipment 11707 Santa Cruz Drive Austin, Texas

- Item 25 - \$2,928.00

CURTIN-MATHESON SCIENTIFIC 4220 Jefferson Avenue

Houston, Texas

- Items 28, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37 -

\$26,690.00

GILFORD INSTRUMENT

LABORATORIES 132 Artino Street

Oberlin, Ohio

- Item 38 - \$8,756.00

DUPONT (SORVALL) INSTRUMENTS

Pecks Lane

Newtown. Connecticut

- Item 39 - \$4,416.00

SCIENTIFIC PRODUCTS

210 Great Southwest Parkway

Grand Prairie, Texas

- Items 29-33 - \$3.950.00

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn,

Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell

Noes: None

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution awarding the following contracts:

Bid Award:

- CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM, Commercial Underground Transformers & Equipment Purchase, Three Phase, Pad Mounted, Distribution Transformers, Electric Department.

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC SUPPLY

COMPANY

9230 Research Boulevard

Austin, Texas

- Item 1 - 3 ea. @ \$3,778.00 Item 4 - 1 ea. @ \$7,782.00

Total - \$19,116.00

GENERAL ELECTRIC SUPPLY

COMPANY

7521 North Lamar Austin, Texas

- Item 2 - 4 ea. @ \$5,800.00 Total - \$23,200.00

- Item 3 - 1 ea.

SOUTHWAY ELECTRIC UTILITY SERVICE

3201 Longhorn Bouleward

Austin, Texas

Total \$6,599.00

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn,

Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell.

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution awarding the following contract:

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION
201 North St. Mary's Street
San Antonio, Texas

- CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM, Network Underground Transformer & Equipment Purchase, Network Transformer, Electric Department Items 1.1 - 2.3 - \$291,270.00

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn,

Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell

Noes: None

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution awarding the following contract:

PRIESTER-MELL & NICHOLSON, INC. 601 East 56th Street Austin, Texas - Power Fuses and Fuse Units, Electric Department.

Item 1 - 6 ea. @ \$470.00

Item 2 - 9 ea. @ \$260.00

Total \$5,160.00

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn,

Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell

Noes: None

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution awarding the following contract:

Bid Award:

- Radio Communication Equipment, Vehicle and Equipment Services Department.

RCA CORPORATION 8700 Stemmons Freeway Dallas, Texas - Items 1.1-1.7, 3.2, 6, 7.1-7.4 - \$48,198.00

CAPITOL AMBULANCE SERVICE 11309 Tedford Austin, Texas - Item 311 - \$2,418.68

MOTOROLA, INC. 300 Huntland Austin, Texas

- Items 4.1 & 4.2 - \$2,493.00

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY 5555 North Lamar Austin, Texas - Items 5, 8.1 & 8.2 - \$27,161.84

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn,

Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell

Noes: None

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution awarding the following contract:

COOPER EQUIPMENT COMPANY 8723 Broadway San Antonio, Texas

- Self-Propelled Paver, Vehicle and Equipment Service Department.
Item 1 - 1 ea. - \$11,950.00

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn,

Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell

Noes: None

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution awarding the following contract:

CAPITOL CHEVROLET, INC. 501 North Lamar Austin, Texas

- Two Each Carryalls, Vehicle and Equipment Services Department.

Item 1 - 2 ea. @ \$5,499.00

Total \$10,998.00

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn,

Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell

Noes: None

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution awarding the following contract:

ALLIED CHAINLINK FENCE COMPANY 8400 Highway 290 East Austin, Texas - Relocation of Gate No. 1 and Fence Repairs at Municipal Airport -\$5,056.44

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Lebermann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn,

Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell

#### STATE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION FUND PARTICIPATION

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution to enter into contract with the State Department of Highways and Public Transportation regarding State Public Transportation Fund Participation. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Trevino, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn, Trevino, Mayor

Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmember Himmelblau

Noes: None

#### PARKING METER ZONES

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution authorizing the following Parking Meter Zones:

# DELETING

METER TYPE	STREET	FROM	<u>TO</u>	SIDE OF STREET
15 Minute	Congress Avenue	900	900	East
ADDING				

15/30 Minute Congress Avenue 900 900 East

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Trevino, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Lebermann, Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman,

Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann

Noes: None

#### KOENIG LANE/BURNET ROAD INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS

Councilmember Travino moved that the Council adopt a resolution to acquire certain land for the Koenig Lane/Burnet Road Intersection Improvements. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM.

2,296 square feet of land out of that certain tract of land out of the George W. Spear League. (Helen Lieck and Emma Davenport)

The motion, seconded by Councilmember Himmelblau, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem

Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann

# PITCH & PUTT GOLF COURSE LEASE AGREEMENT

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution to extend the lease agreement between Pitch & Putt Golf Course to Winston Kinser. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmember Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell,

Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn

Noes: None

### AIRPORT USE AGREEMENT

Councilmember Trevino moved that the Council adopt a resolution to establish an Airport Use Agreement between Southwest Airlines and the City of Austin. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Himmelblau, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers

Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn, Trevino

Noes: None

# QUITCLAIM DEEDS

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution to execute quitclaim deeds to two tracts which were acquired by the City because of delinquent taxes but which do not actually exist on the ground. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Hofmann, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann,

Lebermann, Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman

Noes: None

## PUBLIC HEARING SET ON PRIVATE SOLID WASTE COLLECTION

## Motion

Councilmember Lebermann moved that the Council set a public hearing for May 16, 1977, at 6:00 p.m. on proposed licensing requirements and fees for private solid waste collection services. The motion was seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Snell.

## Substitute Motion

Councilmember Trevino moved that the Council set the public hearing for May 26, 1977, at 11:00 a.m. The substitute motion, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Snell, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn, Trevino,

Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmember Himmelblau

# APPLICANT AGENCY FOR METROPOLITAN AUSTIN CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING UNIT

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council adopt a resolution to designate Travis County as applicant agency for the Metropolitan Austin Criminal Justice Planning Unit. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Trevino, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Lebermann, Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman,

Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann

Noes: None

#### DRIVEWAY ORDINANCE AMENDMENTS

## Motion

Mayor Friedman brought up the following ordinance: for its third reading:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 31-14, 31-15, 31-16.4, 31-18(a), 31-18.3, AND 31-21.1(e) OF ARETCLE II OF CHAPTER 31 OF THE AUSTIN CITY CODE OF 1967; EXPANDING THE PURPOSE OF THE ARTICLE; DEFINING THE WORD "TREE"; PROVIDING CERTAIN AUTHORITY TO THE DIRECTOR OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT; REGULATING THE REMOVAL OF TREES ON PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Themerdinance was read the third time, and Councilmember Linn moved that it be finally passed. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Hofmann.

## Discussion

Councilmember Lebermann said he had a question. There are some environmental standards associated with this ordinance. He asked Mr. Charles Graves if these environmental standards are to be developed. Mr. Graves answered that the ordinance calls for them to be developed. Councilmember Lebermann asked if before this ordinance could have genuine sound applicability, the environmental criteria would have to be developed. Mr. Graves answered there would have to be some lag time, but Councilmember Lebermann answered there was no lag timebhuilt into the passage of the ordinance. Mr. Graves said that in administering it they would be waiting for those standards before they could examine the application to see whether it complied. Councilmember Lebermann asked if it would be better if the standards were developed prior to the passage of the ordinance. Mr. Graves said that would be better because they would have nothing in hand when they began to enforce the ordinance. Councilmember Lebermann said it was a troublesome thing for staff administration to decide when an ordinance can be administrated before the policy makers elected for that function have decided on the criteria. After more discussion the following substitute motion was made:

## Substitute Motion

Councilmember Lebermann made a substitute motion that the ordinance be finally passed, to be effective in 60 days if the environmental guidelines are established. The substitute motion, seconded by Councilmember Himmelblau, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmember Trevino, Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell,

Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn

Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

### OPERATING BUDGET AMENDED

Mayor Friedman introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 760927-A, ANNUAL BUDGET ORDINANCE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING OCTOBER 1, 1976, AND TERMINATING SEPTEMBER 30, 1977, BY TRANSFERRING CERTAIN PREVIOUSLY ALLOCATED UTILITY FUNDS AND GENERAL FUND AVAILABLE BALANCE TO THE ACCOUNTING SYSTEM FUND; SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council waive the requirement for three readings, declare an emergency and finally pass the ordinance. The motion, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Snell, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers

Himmelblau, Hofmann, Lebermann, Linn, Trevino

Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

# LAND ANNEXATION ORDINANCE

Mayor Friedman introduced the following ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN ADDITIONAL TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 21.635 ACRES OF LAND, SAME BEING OUT OF AND A PART OF THE T. J. CHAMBERS GRANT IN TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS; WHICH SAID ADDITIONAL TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN, IN PARTICULARS STATED IN THE ORDINANCE; REPEALING ORDINANCE NO. 770428-E; SUSPENDING THE RULE REQUIRING THE READING OF ORDINANCES ON THREE SEPARATE DAYS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council waive the requirement for three readings, declare an emergency and finally pass the ordinance. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Trevino, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Mayor Pro Tem Snell, Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann,

Lebermann, Linn, Trevino, Mayor Friedman

Noes: None

The Mayor announced that the ordinance had been finally passed.

### CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT TRAFFIC AND PARKING

Mr. Joe Ternus, Urban Transportation, presented a report on Central Business District Traffic and Parking prepared by the Central Business District Parking Task Force, Citizens: Traffic Safety Commission and the Urban Transportation Commission. The Council received the report with their thanks.

## PROPOSED SUB-METERING ORDINANCE

Dr. Archie Straiton, Vice-Chairperson of the Energy Conservation Commission presented a report on the proposed Sub-Metering Ordinance. The Council received the report with their thanks.

### REPORT ON PROPOSED FAIR HOUSING ORDINANCE

Father Joe Znotas, Chairperson of the Human Relations Commission, presented a report on the proposed Fair Housing Ordinance. After some discussion as to whether the Ordinance should be presented for appublic hearing before the present Council, or the newly elected Council a motion was made.

Councilmember Linn moved that the Council approve the setting of a public hearing on the Proposed Fair Housing Ordinance on May 12, 1977, at 2:00 p.m. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Trevino, carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmembers Himmelblau, Hofmann, Linn, Trevino, Mayor

Friedman, Mayor Pro Tem Snell, --

Noes: Councilmember Lebermann

#### ADJOURNMENT

The Council meeting was adjourned at 4:22 p.m.

APPROVED

ATTEST:

City Clerk